Please cite as: Borrego, M. (2014). Literacy Analysis Research Essay. Brandman University.

Program: Associate of Arts/General Studies (SAS)

PLO Number: PLO 1: Written Fluency (all rows); PLO 7: Information Literacy (row 6 only)

Course #/Title: ENGU 104: Critical Thinking and Writing about Literature

Assignment Title: Literary Research Paper

Week Assessment Occurs: Eight

Total Points for Assignment: 250

Directions:

Enter performance levels B1 – E1.

Enter criterion in A2 – A6.

Enter Points and Description for each criterion in B2 – E6 (Note: The number of criterion can vary. In this template, there are 5 criteria.)

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
		EXEMPLARY	PROFICIENT	DEVELOPING	EMERGING
(1)		30	26	21	18
	Intro Paragraph	Engaging opening introduces the			
	/Thesis	essay's general topic and	Generally engaging opening	Opening is functional but too	Opening is ineffective, poorly
	Statement	inspires thinking about that topic; logically proceeds to thesis;	introduces the essay's topic but may not inspire thinking about	brief and/or simplistic, essay's topic is apparent but needs to be	organized, and undeveloped (inappropriately brief); thesis
	(30)	thesis is an easily identifiable,	the topic; transition to thesis is	developed to engage the reader;	may summarize plot point rather
		well-phrased argument that	present but may be vague; thesis	there may be abrupt transitions	than present an argument about
		assesses the text and addresses	is an easily identifiable argument	from first sentences to thesis	text; thesis may not address the
		a specific idea to be analyzed	that addresses a specific idea to	statement; thesis is present but	prompt at all; author and/or title
		and proven in the essay; the idea	be analyzed and proven in the	may be general, vague, or	of text may not be referenced at
		offered in the thesis reflects	essay; the idea offered in the	imprecisely phrased; thesis may	all or improperly (i.e. only
		sound critical, analytical thinking; title and author of work are	thesis reflects sound critical,	not directly address the prompt	author's last name, title
		appropriately referenced.	analytical thinking; title and author of work are appropriately	(though still articulates an argument that evaluates the	incorrectly formatted).
		appropriately referenced.	referenced.	text).	
(2)		30	26	21	18
	Body Paragraphs				
	/Organization	Each topic sentence clearly	Each topic sentence generally	Topic sentences are present but	Topic sentences may be absent
	(30)	connects to the thesis statement	connects to the thesis but in one	more than one is weak in the	or consistently lack focused
		and offers an identifiable, well-	or more topic sentences; the	following areas: main idea not	ideas, either offering general,
		phrased idea to be proven in the	main idea may need to be clarified; concrete details are	discernible; the text is	irrelevant comments or stating
		paragraph; concrete details are well-chosen and incorporated;	generally well-chosen though	summarized without analysis; unclear connection to	facts about the text; there is a weak or no discernible argument
		paragraphs are well-organized to	some may be irrelevant or	thesis. Concrete details are	or point guiding the essay;
		create a coherent, carefully	insufficient as evidence to	present but provide insufficient	concrete details are absent or

analytical understanding of the text, writer draws sophisticated, insightful inferences from concrete details to support the topic sentences and thesis statement; inferences are developed; all claims and points are well-supported and persuasive; argument demonstrates writer's ability to develop and support an interpretation; appropriate balance of quoted material and writer's analysis. analytical understanding of the text but may be uneven; inferences demonstrate inferences inferen		developed and supported argument; transitions between ideas are logical and each idea builds on the preceding; writer maintains focus and control of argument so that the point of each paragraph is always clear.	effectively support the thesis and/or topic sentences; paragraphs are generally well-organized, though some transitions may be awkward and there may be gaps in the development of ideas; the point of most paragraphs is clear.	evidence to support topic sentences and/or are irrelevant. Lack of coherent organization within individual paragraphs or from one paragraph to the next; abrupt transitions may impede smooth flow of ideas; essay may lack consistent focus and control of argument; paragraph(s) may lack clear point(s); content of paragraphs does not consistently support or connect with thesis and/or topic sentences.	insufficient; consistent lack of coherent organization of ideas within paragraphs and from one paragraph to the next; points of paragraphs are unclear.
Voice (30)		Writing reflects a critical, analytical understanding of the text;, writer draws sophisticated, insightful inferences from concrete details to support the topic sentences and thesis statement; inferences are developed; all claims and points are well-supported and persuasive; argument demonstrates writer's ability to develop and support an interpretation; appropriate balance of quoted material and	Writing generally reflects a critical, analytical understanding of the text but may be uneven; inferences demonstrate interpretive ability but could be developed to better explain significance of detail and support thesis and/or topic sentences; a few claims may be vague, generalized, or lacking in support; analysis is clear but may not be nuanced; there may be some imbalance between quoted material and writer's	Writing demonstrates basic comprehension of the text but not necessarily a critical, analytical understanding of it as reflected by one or more of the following: lack of focused, developed idea guiding essay; interpretive analysis which is inconsistent or unsubstantiated; frequent summary of plot details that retell the story; restating the content of cited concrete details rather than drawing significant inferences about sub-textual meaning. Writing may be marked and weakened by frequent generalizations, unsupported claims, assumptions, or vague	Writing demonstrates some awareness of textual details but does not demonstrate a critical, analytical understanding of the text; points made are mostly vague and unsubstantiated; the essay lacks focus; there is little or no literary analysis present.
Writing is academic in tone, Writing is generally academic in Writing tends to be mechanical in Writing is mechanical in tone	Language Style / Voice (30)	30 Writing is academic in tone,			18 Writing is mechanical in tone;

(3)

(4)

		demonstrating a clear sense of purpose and audience; vocabulary and phrasing are academically appropriate, persuasive, and sophisticated; writer's voice is evident confident and sophisticated.	tone; vocabulary in some places may be simplistic or ineffective; writer's voice may not be consistently persuasive but is discernible; writing demonstrates some awareness of audience and persuasive purpose.	tone; vocabulary tends to be simplistic, marked by instances of informal or imprecise diction; writer's voice is not discernible in the essay; writing demonstrates inconsistent awareness of persuasive purpose.	vocabulary is simplistic and/or inappropriate; writer's voice is not discernible in essay; writing demonstrates no awareness of persuasive purpose.
(5)	Mechanics (50)	Essay's sentences are marked by varying opening words and structure; effective syntax and grammar demonstrate a mastery of writing conventions and serve the author's purpose; absence of misspellings, punctuation errors.	Essay's sentences are generally effective but may lack appropriate variety (some repeated opening words and structure); syntax and grammar may be awkward in places (but do not impair meaning); a few misspellings (but do not impair meaning).	Essay's sentences lack variety (frequently repeated opening words and sentence structure); awkward syntax and grammar confuse writer's point and may impair meaning; misspellings, contractions, fragments, referring to "you" diminish academic nature of the writing and distract reader.	Essay's sentences reveal frequent syntax, grammar, or misspelling errors that impair meaning; contractions, fragments, referring to "you" diminish academic nature of the writing and distract reader.
(6)	Information Literacy (40)	Effectively integrates credible academic sources into essay; proper use of MLA guidelines for in-text citations; accurate Work Cited page; essay uses at least four reliable, authoritative secondary sources.	Integrates credible academic sources into essay; proper use of MLA guidelines for in-text citations which may contain minor errors; accurate Work Cited page which may contain minor errors; essay uses at least four reliable, authoritative secondary sources.	Integrates credible academic sources into essay; adherence to MLA guidelines may be inconsistent (but does not compromise the integrity of the essay); Work Cited page may contain consistent errors (which do not compromise the integrity of the essay); essay uses at least three reliable, authoritative secondary sources.	Does not consistently or integrate credible academic sources into essay; inconsistent adherence to MLA guidelines (which may compromise integrity of the essay); inaccurate Work Cited page (which may compromise integrity of essay). Essay does not use at least three reliable, authoritative secondary sources.